L.R. No. 0602-01 Bill No. HB 325 Page 1 of 3 February 1, 2001

COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 0602-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 325

Subject: Education, Elementary and Secondary: School Bonds

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: February 1, 2001

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS								
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004					
Total Estimated Net Effect on All								
State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0					

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0				

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS							
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2002	FY 2003	FY 2004				
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0				

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 3 pages.

FISCAL ANALYSIS

L.R. No. 0602-01 Bill No. HB 325 Page 2 of 3 February 1, 2001

ASSUMPTION

Officials of the **Department of Elementary and Secondary Education** stated that the proposal would not affect the amount of funds received by the state or school districts. They noted that the proposal could change how school districts use funds.

Under existing law all proceeds of school district general obligation bonds are, under terms of 165.011.1, RSMo, are placed in a district's Capital Projects Fund and spent solely as allowed in sections 164.121 to 164.131.

Section 165.016 provides for calculation of certificated salary compliance based on a district's current operating cost. The definition of "current operating cost" excludes capital outlay spending. If twenty-five percent (25%) of general obligation bonds are exempted for certificated salary compliance purposes then a school board could use Incidental Fund money equivalent to 25% of bond issue moneys for capital projects.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
	\$0	\$0	\$0
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2002 (10 Mo.)	FY 2003	FY 2004
	\$0	\$0	\$0

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

DESCRIPTION

This proposal would exempt up to twenty-five percent (25%) of the proceeds of general obligation school bonds approved after the effective date of the proposal from provisions of section 165.016, RSMo, which mandate levels of spending for tuition, teacher retirement and pay for certificated staff and allocate those exempted proceeds to the Capital Projects Fund.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not <u>DESCRIPTION</u> (continued)

require additional capital improvements or rental space. The proposal would not affect Total

GVB:LR:OD (12/00)

L.R. No. 0602-01 Bill No. HB 325 Page 3 of 3 February 1, 2001

State Revenue.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Elementary and Secondary Education

Jeanne Jarrett, CPA

Director

February 1, 2001